

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/726,700	12/04/2003	Curt Lee Cotner	SVL920030097US1	9646	
45727 7	12/12/2006		EXAMINER		
LACASSE & ASSOCIATES, LLC			LEWIS, CHERYL RENEA		
	TREET, SUITE 650 A, VA 22314		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	•		2167		
			DATE MAIL ED: 12/12/2004	DATE MAILED: 12/12/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/726,700	COTNER ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Cheryl Lewis	2167
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	1 · ·	•
Period for Reply		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tirr vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	l. the mailing date of this communication. (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14 Section 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for alloware closed in accordance with the practice under Expression 2.	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro	
Disposition of Claims		•
4) Claim(s) 1-35 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) Claim(s) 22 is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1,11 and 30 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 2-10, 12-21, 23-29, and 31-35 is/are of the second sec	vn from consideration. objected to. r election requirement. r. epted or b) objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is objected.	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been receive I (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa	te

Application/Control Number: 10/726,700 Page 2

Art Unit: 2167

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

- 1. This Office action is in response to the applicant's communication received on September 14, 2006.
- 2. Claims 1-35 are presented for examination.
- 3. The applicants have not amended or cancelled any claims.
- 4. Applicants' arguments with respect to claims 1-35 have been considered but are deemed to be most in view of the new grounds of rejection.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 5. Claims 22 is allowed.
- 6. Claims 2-10, 12-21, 23-29, and 31-35 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 7. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 10/726,700

Art Unit: 2167

- 8. Claims 1, 11, and 30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ganesh et al., (Pat. No. 6,684,223 B1 filed April 18, 2002, hereinafter Ganesh) and Oracle9*i* Database Administrator's Guide Release 2 (9.2) Part Number A96521-01, pages 1-21, 2002 (hereinafter Oracle).
- 9. Regarding Claims 1 and 30, Ganesh teaches performing 2-phase commit with presumed prepare.

The method and associated system for performing 2-phase commit with presumed prepare as taught or suggested by Ganesh includes:

a database cluster via a shared memory device (col. 2, lines 24-32); upon detecting failure (col. 2, lines 33-36) of the means which would essentially comprise the same means as a transaction manager (col. 2, lines 49-54); issuing instructions to perform a rollback or commit (col. 7, lines 25-27) in a shared memory device.

However, Ganesh does not expressly teach indoubt transaction entries.

Oracle teaches indoubt transaction entries (page 8, paragraph Manually Overriding In-Doubt Transactions, page 9, Manually Committing an In-Doubt Transaction).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the method of Ganesh with the indoubt transactions of Oracle because Oracle's method enables indoubt transactions to be rollbacked or committed with an attempt to record the value of a record within a transaction table.

Art Unit: 2167

10. Regarding Claim 11, the limitations of this claim have been noted in the rejection of claim 1 presented above. In addition, Ganesh teaches an interface for communication with the database system (figure 1).

NAME OF CONTACT

11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Cheryl Lewis whose telephone number is (571) 272-4113. The examiner can normally be reached on 6:30-3:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John Cottingham can be reached on (571) 272-7079. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300.

(571) 273-4113 (Use this FAX #, only after approval by Examiner, for "INFORMAL" or "DRAFT" communication. Examiners may request that a formal paper/amendment be faxed directly to them on occasions.).

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist/ Technology Center (571) 272-2100.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov.

Art Unit: 2167

Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the

Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Cheryl Lewis

Patent Examiner
December 11, 2006